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ABSTRACT

The development of vehicle tracking using sensor fusion is presented in this paper. Advanced driver assistance systems (ADAS) are becoming more popular in recent years. These systems use sensor information for real-time control. To improve the standard and robustness, especially in the presence of environmental noises like varying lighting, weather conditions, and fusion of sensors has been the center of attention in recent studies. Faced with complex traffic conditions, the single sensor has been unable to meet the security requirements of ADAS and autonomous driving. The common environment perception sensors consist of radar, camera, and lidar which have both pros and cons. The sensor fusion is a necessary technology for autonomous driving which provides a better vision and understanding of vehicles surrounding. We mainly focus on highway scenarios that enable an autonomous car to comfortably follow other cars at various speeds while keeping a secure distance and mix the advantages of both sensors with a sensor fusion approach. The radar and vision sensor information are fused to produce robust and accurate measurements. And the experimental results indicate that the comparison of using only radar sensors and sensor fusion of both camera and radar sensors is presented in this paper. The algorithm is described along with simulation results by using MATLAB.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Tracking vehicles ahead are often done using radar and vision sensors independently to mix the strengths of every sensor and produce a strong and accurate estimate of the vehicle. Using the information with knowledge of uncertainties present in each sensor, an optimal estimate can be computed that combines the dynamics of the vehicle and detections for different sensors [1, 2]. Radar and vision sensors are inexpensive when compared with other sensors, which are widely used in tracking applications and therefore are considered for sensor fusion here. The strengths of one sensor are the weakness of the other, thus creating a scenario for the sensor fusion to be implemented [3]. The possibility of the multiple vehicles being tracked implies the need for a data association algorithm to separate each tracked object. For simulation purposes, testing out algorithms on MATLAB with the help of toolboxes may prove to be a better option.

II. SENSOR FUSION

It is the ability to bring together inputs from multiple radars, cameras to make a single model of the environment around a vehicle. The resulting model is more accurate because the strengths of the different sensors are balanced. Before using real-world experimental data, sensor fusion was
attempted on synthetic data by creating lanes, vehicle objects, and realistic sensor models. Using the sensor fusion and automated driving toolbox, scenarios are often created with user-defined road profiles, vehicle properties, and sensor parameters. Sensor fusion using radar and vision sensors makes use of a data association algorithm to assign detections to tracks [4, 5, 6].

2-1- Multi-Sensor Data Fusion

A single perception sensor is not enough to cover the high safety requirements of future vehicles. Thus, multiple sensors of different technologies have to be combined to accomplish redundancy and diversity. The sensor fusion system mitigates the weakness of the individual sensors and outputs a strong environment model in any scenario. The individual sensors work independently, causing the measurements from the sensors to arrive asynchronously. Additionally, since the sensors are typically mounted on different positions of the vehicle, each sensor has an individual point of view. The sensors require a common understanding of time, space, and a standardized data interface to perform sensor fusion [7, 8]. There are three types of sensor fusion architectures

i. Low-level sensor fusion
ii. Feature-level sensor fusion
iii. High-level sensor fusion

Low-level sensor fusion processes the raw data fetched from all individual sensors. The advantage is to process raw data obtained directly from the sensors, without any influence of data loss. The drawback is to handle the high amount of data and increased complexity to process. In feature-level sensor fusion, pre-processing is applied at the sensor level to extract certain features. These extracted features are then fused by the sensor fusion system. This architecture is a trade-off between the two other approaches. High-level sensor fusion performs the whole processing for each sensor individually. The object lists are then fused by the sensor fusion system.

The data from the different sensors have to be aligned both temporally and spatially to perform sensor fusion. The temporal alignment of the sensor data relies on accurate timestamps added to each measurement of the single sensors [9, 10]. To achieve this, all involved units have to work with a perfectly synchronized global time base. For spatial alignment, the measurements from the different sensors have to be transferred into a common coordinate system.

2-2- Sensor Properties

The primary properties of the sensor are position, orientation, field of view (FOV), and resolution. Additional properties such as detection probability can also be set for higher fidelity modeling. FOV describes the scope of the sensor. It only detects objects inside its field of view. FOV is expressed in terms of azimuth angle and elevation angle. The angle from the x-axis to the orthogonal projection of the vector onto the x-y plane is defined as azimuth. The elevation is defined as the angle from the projection onto the x-y plane to the vector [11 - 15]. Once these are defined, the corresponding resolutions can also be easily defined for the sensor.

2-3- Multi-Object Tracker

Tracking an object broadly consists of two steps. These are detection assignment and state estimation. For single object tracking detection, the assignment becomes relatively simple since we can neglect any surrounding objects. For multi-object tracking, data assignment becomes more involved especially when objects are close together. Complexity in state estimation remains relatively similar for single object and multiple objects [16 - 21].

Detection assignment is the process in which multiple sensor detections are analyzed and the best position detection is assigned to a specific object. An extended object may generate multiple detections for sensors with high resolution and therefore need to be clustered to get the best estimation results. State estimation is the process of estimating the state of a target object with information of the dynamic system and new sensor information. Since sensor detections may change abruptly depending on the actual state of the vehicle, the state estimation process should be able to filter out these changes and produce a smooth result [22 – 27].
III. METHODOLOGY

The use of sensor fusion generates a scenario and simulates sensor detections to track the vehicles. The main benefit of using scenario generation is the ability to create rare events and test the vehicle algorithms with them.

3-1- Generate the Scenario

The scenario generation is comprised of generating a road network, defining the moving vehicles. To test and create the ability of the sensor fusion to track a vehicle that is passing on the left of the ego vehicle and additional vehicles are in front of and behind the ego vehicle. Add 600 m road defined by using a set of points with two lanes, and each point defines the center of the road in 3-dimensional space [28, 29]. The passing car will start on the right lane then move to the left lane to pass, and return to the right lane.

Simulate an ego vehicle that has six radar sensors and two vision sensors and has some overlap and coverage gap. It demonstrates the strength of vision sensors to accurately predict lateral position. Ego vehicle is equipped with a vision sensor and a long-range radar sensor on both the front and back of the vehicle. Each side of the vehicle has two short-range radar sensors covering 90 degrees each [30 - 33]. One sensor on each side covers from the middle of the vehicle to the forward and the other to the back. The radar and vision sensor parameters are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radar parameters</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Update interval</td>
<td>Seconds</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view</td>
<td>[azimuth, elevation] in degrees</td>
<td>[20, 5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection probability</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuth resolution</td>
<td>Degrees</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range resolution</td>
<td>Meters</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vision properties</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Update interval</td>
<td>Seconds</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum range</td>
<td>Meters</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection probability</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False positives per image</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3-2- Supporting Functions

To track the vehicles that are close to the ego vehicle a multiObjectTracker is created and the tracker uses the initSimDemoFilter function to initialize a constant velocity filter based on detections. To track the vehicle, radar sensor detections need an additional step before sending the measurement. A radar sensor with high resolution will produce multiple detections for a single object, thereby increasing the chance for duplicate tracks. This also increases the computational load on the data assignment algorithm. Detection
clustering is used to alleviate this issue. The clustering function merges the multiple detections suspected to be of the same vehicle to single detection. Also, this function looks for detections that are closer than the size of a vehicle. Detections that fit the criterion are considered a cluster and are merged to a single detection at the centroid of the cluster. To represent the modified measurements noises the possibility that each detection can be anywhere on the vehicle.

IV. RESULTS

The proposed vehicle tracking algorithm using sensor fusion is simulated by MATLAB R2020a. In the results, we can see that the ego vehicle, a lead car in front of the ego vehicle, and a chase car behind the ego vehicle travels at 25m/s along the road. Another vehicle that travels at 35m/s along the road and passes the ego vehicle. The createDemoDisplay function creates a three-panel display are a top view, a chase-camera view that follows the ego vehicle, and a bird's-eye plot as shown in fig 1, fig 2, and fig 3.

All the radar and vision sensors cover 360 degrees field of view. Ego vehicle localization is carried out using lateral positioning from two vision sensors. In the bird's eye plot, it is visible the radar sensors, vision sensors, lane marking, and history up to 10 tracks. A function is used to update the bird's eye plot with road boundaries, detections, and tracks.
Fig 3: Bird’s eye plot
Fig 4: Tracking of vehicles using six radar sensor
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(b)

(c)
From the above fig 4 and fig 5, the comparison of using radar sensors and sensor fusion of radar and vision sensors is shown in bird’s eye plot. From the results, it is clear that when the radar sensors work standalone gives good detection of vehicles but poor in classification and color contrast. The sensor fusion of radar and vision sensors gives results that are more accurate.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a method to fuse radar and vision sensor data has been described. The fused output shows good accuracy for both lateral and longitudinal positions of target vehicles. Radar sensors are accurate with longitudinal position tracking while vision sensors are accurate with lateral position tracking. A tracking algorithm is helpful to increase the robustness of the system and detection persistence. Camera-based technology provides information about color and appearance characteristics, but has limited performance under inclemency, low light conditions. Radar systems deliver reliable information about target position and velocity even in bad environmental conditions. Utilizing the full potential of radar sensors is still a big challenge of today. The obtained data from the sensor is significantly tougher to interpret than data from vision sensors. The simulation results show that the radar and camera sensor fusion can yield better performance and reasonable fusion results than the radar sensor tracking.
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